

Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) agenda. Rocky's gang push the Trilateral Commission. All push for the Project for a New American Century (PNAC) and Wolfowitz' white-paper on America ruling the land, the oceans, the sky and space (but needing a cataclysmic catalyst to enlist We the People's enthusiasm (or fear, rage & trembling), something like a "new Pearl Harbor," as There are nominally Demican and Republicrat Banksters, differing only in connections/pals, but overlapping. Then step back to Cecil Rhodes' diamond mine bequest to set up, first, the New British World Order, then just a New World Order, cf. Carroll Quigley. Collectivism vs. Individuality.

Then there are the Bilderbergers, or The Bilderberg Society. The world bank, international monetary fund, bank of international settlements.

Wars are over power/domination. Have two parties, but we (CFR) will control both "left" and "right". But both are "Collectivists" meaning all-powerful central government--totally congruent with Orwell's 1984.

[get docs on the 10 "councillor regions" in the US mentioned in UN and NAU--north american union-documents. Another point: how much paper/ink/time is wasted by having product instructions in English, Spanish and French on every GD user-guide, package, etc.]

[great lines: Everything is about sex and money, except for sex, which is all about power. House of Cards

[Whole-Paragraph Apothegms...

The transcript of the 1998 trail you never heard/read/saw about on the corporate media, *King Family vs. Lloyd Jowers*

& *unidentified co-conspirators*, [is at this hyperlink](#).

Things to be concerned about in the last fifth of our lives:

We don't yet know who assassinated JFK, RFK and JFK Jr. Fortunately, because Coretta Scott King pressed her wrongful death lawsuit against the US, Georgia and Atlanta governments and won, we now have a record of all the co-conspirators who participated in the assassination of Martin Luther King Jr. on April 4, 1968 (one hell of a way for me to remember my birthday.

And of course 9/11/2001 is yet another open case. Paul, if you have any insider information you'd like to share with your classmates, our 50th reunion wouldn't be an inopportune venue in which to do it.

Nano-particles of aluminum are being used to alter the weather when sprayed into the atmosphere by retro-fitted 7N7-class passenger airliners. The gummint of course denies that any of this is happening, but some folks estimate it's been going on for more than a decade or more with the nano-particles. These are the same aluminum particles used in nano-thermate that brought down WTC #7, 2 and 1 — and most likely used for the bombs that blew a huge crater in the center of WTC #6, which has been discussed even less frequently than the "invisible 47 stories and six sub-basements" of WTC #7 that were brought down, with beautiful symmetry, in c. six seconds by ordinary, asymmetric office fires. According to NIST, that is. "Falsehoods, Liars, burning bright/in the forests of the night. Oh what hand and oh what eye/ could have formed that fearful symmetry?" [from memory; I shudder to check:]

Isn't it amazing that everyone who works or lives in a high-rise steel-framed building continues to use them, even though a few simple office fires, or apartment/condo fires or commercial fires in street-front floors, would bring them down in 10 seconds. Why haven't all Manhattanites, Chicagoans, Washingtonians, abandoned them because of the obvious risk and loss of 3,000 lives? Perhaps they just don't believe the 9/11 reports from our gummint's "National Institute of Science & Technology"? The very NIST that is responsible for establishing fire-safety and construction standards for American buildings. Isn't there something peculiarly discordant about that?

Would you feel safe in a building that could collapse because someone tossed a couple of lit matches in a wastepaper basked or two? NIST didn't even change building standards based on their 9/11 "findings" about WTC #7, which I find interesting indeed. Just think—the immutable laws of physics, chemistry, energy, gravity can be suspended for eight hours, give or take, of one day in the history of the world, to let the seven buildings of the World Trade Center be blown up or blown down. No wonder Rudi Giuliani and the powers that be had to get rid of those 200,000 of tons of structural steel, rebar (the concrete had already been blown to smithereens) as quickly as possible, while the police guarded this crime-scene of 3,000 murders, give or take, so firemen's survivors couldn't attempt gathering all the smithereens of their loved ones, so Crime Scene Investigators-New York (or LA, or Miami, wherever exciting TV dramas are sold) couldn't examine the "pile," as it was called, to perhaps discover the greatest secrets of the universe — how to "sequester" or suspend gravity for eight hours? Even Fearless Fosdick's creator wrote "the na-

tion that controls magnetism [and gravity] will rule the world.

So why is it that we have laws making it a crime to destroy evidence of a crime if we're not going to use them, if they're irrelevant to the biggest murder scene in world history, give or take? Why do we have laws and regulations that require testimony in murder investigations to be under oath and in public, but give the two most important witnesses, Darth and Shrub, an exemption from those laws? To big to jail? But aren't these guys chief proponents of the "Rule of Law"? Don't these guys take oaths to preserve, protect and defend (or support and defend) the nation's legal system. But when it comes to "securing the Blessings of Liberty to **themselves** and **their** Posterity," they insist on being given a pass? Is this the Rule of Law, or the plutocratic rule of "Too Big To Jail"? Heck, American men have been stripped naked, tarred, feathered, and ridden out of town on a split-rail fence beam for stealing a couple of horses from their townfolk, never mind figuring in the murders of thousands of them.

So, from the military standpoint, I guess the research into nano-izing aluminum has thrice borne fruit (or **un**-born fruit—watch [*Why In The World Are They Spraying?*](#)). First, to synthesize new applications of nano-thermate for military use, then to control the weather (so-called "battlefield control" and "battlefield denial"), and then, for civilian and Wall Street (or commodities market purposes), to control the world's food supply, starting with corn (in the US sense).

(By the way, I'm being partly facetious about people not being smart enough to move out of "can collapse at any second" high-rise buildings. I suspect that people are smart enough to know that the gov-

ernment “experts” are lying, but are also wise enough, absent some significant shifts of power, to keep their mouths shut, lest the National Surveillance Agency pick up their words and pass them along to a *Heimats Versicherung Abteilung*-run “Fusion Center.” There are said to be 72 of such centers throughout the US, “fusing” gossip, “facts,” surveillance results gathered by the NSA, FBI, CIA, NRO (the “eyes in the sky” guys at the national reconnaissance outfit), Military Intelligence (though it’s supposed to be an oxymoron, it’s very dangerous, nonetheless), along with state and local police information. [Here’s one very dramatic view of it](#) through Jesse Ventura’s eyes.

The government has been beyond any semblance of our control since the JFK assassination, perhaps even before. But that certainly was an “inflection point,” or turning point (as when milk turns sour), showing that an American president can be assassinated without reprisal.

OK. I’ve now made a spreadsheet from Ted Rall’s *Anti-American Manifesto* (shades of the late Paul Cowan, Peace Corps Volunteer, who wrote *The Making of an Un-American*. He shuffled off this mortal coil at 48 with some unusual strain of cancer; his blonde wife Rachel has become a rabbi, whose bailiwick is the temple, frightfully run down, that a bunch of West Side parents ran a coop daycare center. (Temple Anche Chesed, I believe it’s called—always an issue of belief somewhere). I’ve not been there since I moved to Boston and daughter followed. (But not X1.)

By the way, this list does not include the wars between the European “invaders,” one might say--well, you might say that, but of course I’m afraid I can’t comment. (I think the Beeb version was a good bit more interesting than the Kevin Spacey version--

but then I’ve always been a sucker for British accents; ask Ham and Holmes Brown. (Seems there was this baroque concert in Utica & the Brown twins had tix, were escorting, as favor to Warren Bratter, this blonde, British Bennington friend of Warren’s ux, Betsy (who was, last I knew, running a blood research lab for the NYC Red Cross in deepest, darkest Africa. (Shades of Vachel Lindsay?))

One of Cowan’s annoyances was the rather hypocritical nature of American presences overseas. So Rall’s table, with year, Adversary and Action columns, should have provided a nice look at the 142 wars and military or CIA actions over the course of our 216 years (may our years continue, but without the CIA and the rest of the police state “infrastructure” being built all over the US, territories, 1,038 military bases world-wide, with “our money.”).

In those years, when you add all of the “actions” to a spreadsheet year by year, and without paying attention to month and days, it turns out that this peace-loving, democracy spreading nation of ours, is what might be called a fraud (well, you might say so, but of course I can make no comment). Because, in 216 years, I found all of 15 years to be free of “wars, invasions and military actions”. Fifteen years, out of 216. That works out to be a ratio of 0.069444444444444; multiply by 100 to get a per hundredths, and it’s 6.944444% (precise, and, hopefully, not massively inaccurate).

Think of it. Peace-loving nation is at war for 201 years of its 216-years-since 1797 existence. Is at war in at least two countries right now. The CIA has a particularly busy history as earlier had the Marines (no wonder Marine General Smedley Darlington Butler said/wrote that *War Is A Racket* — meaning in the Al Capone sense

of a racket — a candy store fronting for a speak-easy, betting parlor, Murder Inc. Rubout Service. I could teach Al Capone a thing or two, Butler said. Capone ran his rackets in only three counties. I ran mine on three continents. [*seem to be repeating yourself here--Old-timers' has struck at last?*]

Year Adversary Action

- 1946 — Iran — US Army invades.
- 1947-9 — Greece — US (CIA) tries to defeat Greek revolutionaries
- 1948 — Italy — CIA manipulates national elections.
- 1948-54 — Philippines — CIA “secret war” against local patriots
- 1953 — Iran — CIA overthrows Mossadegh
- 1954 onward — Vietnam — Major CIA involvement.
- 1954 — Guatemala — CIA overthrow of Pres. Guzman
- 1960 — Congo — CIA backs overthrow/assassination of PM Patrice Lumumba
- 1961 — 2 — Cuba — CIA's Bay of Pigs
- 1962 — Laos — CIA-backed military coup
- 1963 — Ecuador — CIA backs military overthrow of Ibarra
- 1964 — Brazil — CIA-backed mil. coup overthrows Goulart
- 1965-75 — Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia — CIA runs assassination teams, guns and drugs on Air America, dumps drugs in LA/Watts
- 1965 — Indonesia — CIA-backed army coup overthrows Sukarno (apparently, or allegedly, Barry Obama's mom, grandmother and father and stepfather worked for the CIA in Indonesia in those days, Mom as an “anthropologist” ferreting out folks disloyal to the CIA's man Suharto, grandma head of the clandestine accounts at Bank-OH (of Hawaii) according to Brian Madsen. (I was surprised to find out that Hawaii was US-controlled since 1874, not just in 1892-3 when the Navy and sugar-growers deposed the monarchy.) Barry's having long-standing family ties to The Company would go a far number of furlongs to explain why he'd be particularly sensitive to the CIA's need for High Morale, as you could say “Barry Are One”, and they'd all get depressed if they thought they had to take personal responsibility for judging whether orders are legal or not -- that old “nation of laws, not of men” BS, or thing”-- and be held accountable if in fact the orders WERE unlawful, immoral, all of that good stuff. Wiser men than I have pointed out that one of the purposes in having laws at all is to discourage people from engaging in unlawful conduct; it's called “the deterrent effect” in “Nation-of-laws” circles. At the very least, our torturing CIA and military personnel should be happy that if you execute an unlawful order because of fear that you might be summarily executed, you CAN use that fact in mitigation of your sentence, if not in avoiding responsibility to do you own thinking.

- 1965 — The Congo — CIA-backed military coup v. Pres. Kasavubu
- 1966 — Ghana — CIA backed mil coup . Kwame Nkrumah
- 1969 — Cambodia — CIA supports mil. coup v. Sihanouk
- 1970 — Oman — COINOPS w/ Iranian military
- 1973 — Chile — CIA-backed mil. coup v. Allende
- 1976-1992-Angola-CIA & mil “ops”
- 1981-92-El Salvador-CIA COINOPS against local patriots
- 1989-90-Panama-CIA ousts Noriega
- 1991-Haiti-CIA ousts Aristide for first time (but Haiti and Dominican Rep. seem to be a US shooting range or Overthrow Practice Country--we keep “invading” ’em. Their citizens must love us, no? But we help them keep down the excess population. Bombing probably doesn't help the ecology.
- 1998-90-Nicaragua-CIA directs “Contra death squads v.Sandinista gov't; drops floating mines in in harbors (a Geneva Accord no-no, but who heeds those “quaint” documents.
- 2004-Haiti-Marines invade; CIA-backed mil coup overthrows Aristide again. That's twice.
- 2005-to present-Georgia, Djibouti, Kenya, Ethiopia, Yemen and Eritrea, Somalia- so-called “war on terrorism” — CIA uses a fleet of fancy radio-controlled model airplanes to assassinate terrorists and civilians alike.

I have the distinct impression that there's been a lexico-logical disconnect in our gummint, where they think that a “war on terror” requires first that you “terrorize” a people, village, nation, religion, whatever, and then, when they're properly “terrified,” you kill them, and that ends, at least for them, their terror. A truly graceful coup, or *coup de grace*. Terror gone. On the other hand, I doubt they give a damn, so long as the military-legislative-industrial-banking complex remains extraordinarily profitable. Sixty per cent of our domestic budget. Wow. No wonder they've contracted for Halliburton to retro-fit our unused military bases as concentration camps and staffing them up. Both the visible **they** and the invisible **them**.

The greatest disconnect of all, of course, is the one between what was said to have happened on 9/11/2001 and what actually did happen, because while people are

definitely not uniformly and consistently law-abiding, electrons, atoms, quarks, hadrons and all that stuff I know nothing about, do seem to be reasonable uniform and consistent in their obedience to the various laws of nature. But thank heavens my grammar school buddy is keeping his eye on all that heavenly chaff from which none of us, I suspect, would like to hear any uninvited cometary. [*sic* pun, sorry]. [This ref. to Dr. Don Yeomans of NSAS, watcher of Near Earth Objects.]

Now check out this little bit of testimony from the transcript of the 1999 King Family vs. Jowers and other unidentified co-conspirators:

[Page 1103]

A. The Phoenix Program was created by the CIA in Vietnam in 1967 as part of a recognition that the war could not be won militarily and that a second other war had to be waged against what was called the Vietcong infrastructure which was a jargon for the shadow government of the Vietcong.

Q. Now, in the course of your research and work with respect to the Phoenix Program and that book, did you come upon information that has a bearing or is relevant to this case?

A. Yes, I did. I interviewed hundreds of people who participated in the Phoenix Program, including military intelligence personnel officers and enlisted men who were assigned to the Phoenix Program in Vietnam. Some of these military intelligence personnel upon returning to the United States were assigned to military intelligence groups in the Continental United States and began to conduct surveillance and Phoenix type operations against anti-war demonstrators and people in the Civil Rights Movement.

Page 1104

Q. What was the range of activities that these groups were involved in?

A. The military intelligence groups actually had lists of prominent members of the anti-war movement and the Civil Rights Movement. **Particularly they focused on**

Vietnam Veterans Against the War, but they had an entire range of targeted individuals that they surveilled, including such well-known people as Abbie Hoffman and Jerry Rubin. But they also acted as agent provocateurs in demonstrations that would incite riots at demonstrations in order that the police could be called in and arrest individuals.

Q. And break up demonstrations?

A. Break up demonstrations that the military intelligence personnel had started, some of the problems that they had started themselves.

Q. Now, the military intelligence structure covered the entire Continental United States, did it not?

A. That's right. There were seven

Page 1105

military intelligence groups in the Continental United States spread pretty much evenly across the country.

Q. And the one that was connected with this region in the southeast was the 111th military intelligence group?

A. That's correct.

Q. Was there any particular information that you happened to come upon with respect to the 111?

A. Yes, and I included a passage in my book in The Phoenix Program about that. One of the intelligence -- military intelligence individuals who had been in the Phoenix Program in Vietnam came back to the United States afterwards and worked in a military intelligence group -- another one, not the 111th. But there was common knowledge within all of the military intelligence groups about each other's activities. And this individual heard a rumor at the time that the 111th military intelligence group had been conducting 24-hour a day surveillance of Martin Luther King and that [Page 1106] they had actually been in Memphis on April 4th, 1968 and had taken photographs of the assassination of Martin Luther King.

Q. So the scuttlebutt or the rumor was that there had been 111th military intelligence group officers in Memphis at the time of the assassination in a vantage point with cameras running?

A. That's right.

Q. And that they actually captured the assassination on film?*

A. That's correct.

Q. Have any of those photographs ever surfaced to the best of your knowledge?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Did you speak with more than one source with respect to their existence?

A. No, I did not. I spoke with one source.

Q. With one source. Now, could you give us an overview of another intelligence group, the 902nd military intelligence group and what you learned about that organization?

A. I thought I knew a lot. I thought I⁴ [Page 1107] knew almost everything about the various military intelligence groups, but I didn't learn about the 902nd until 1996 in the course of researching the book that I'm writing now which is a book about federal drug law enforcement. And I did an individual -- an interview with an individual named Phillip Manual who in 1975 was a staff investigator for the Senate Subcommittee on Permanent Investigations. And in the course of interviewing Mr. Manual, I asked him about his background, and he said he had been in the 902nd military intelligence group. So in the course of my interview with him, this was interesting to me so we temporarily digressed from the subject that I was interviewing him about and he explained -- I asked him about the 902nd, and he refused to discuss the subject. He said it was a very secret organization and he had promised not to talk about it. So I subsequently filed a Freedom of Information Act request for information about the 902nd. And I filed that Freedom of [Page 1108] Information Act request in October of 1996, and I received a unit history from the United States Army on the 902nd. And that's -- having read that unit history is basically the extent of my knowledge of the 902nd.

Q. Right. That was published by the Department of Defense?

A. By the United States Army, and it was published in 1994 as a 50-year anniversary unit history. The 902nd was created in 1944, and this history was written in 1994 as a 50-year commemorative exercise.

Q. Do you know where the 902nd military intelligence group was based in 1968? A. I believe it was based in Washington D.C.

Q. Do you know that Mr. Phillip Manual was here in Memphis on April 4th, 1968?

A. I know that, yes.

Q. Do you know what his role was here in Memphis on April 4, 1968?

A. What I know about his role here, I gathered from having read Orders to Kill Him (sic). [Page 1109]

Q. What did you gather was his role?

A. That he had arrived in Memphis I believe on April 3rd, and on April 4th at 3:00 -- between 3:00 and 4:00 p.m., he met with a lieutenant from the Memphis Police Department. And I believe that man's name was Arkin. And based on what Mr. Manual told Lieutenant Arkin, Lieutenant Arkin went to the fire station where a Memphis Police Department officer named Redditt was stationed and was observing the Lorraine Hotel, and Lieutenant Arkin asked that Mr. Redditt leave his post and return to police headquarters.

Q. Have you subsequently tried to locate Mr. Phillip Manual?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. Have you had any success in finding him?

A. No, I have not.

Atchilly, we might as well include the entire transcript, or at least that of Judge Joe on ballistics, and Bill Pepper on summation..

One “Lone Gunman w/ Lotsa Helpers” Down, Only Seven to go”

The important thing to be aware of is that now we have one less person on the list of “unsolved mysteries of the past 50 decades or so.” And we have a “proven out” modus operandi for assassinations carried out by our government.

Martin Luther King, Jr. is that “one less person” on the list. The *King vs. Jowers et unnamed alii* wrongful death civil suit clearly establishes that James Earl Ray was the Patsy, fall-guy, frame-up victim in this case, and not the assassin. It establishes to my satisfaction that the “shadow government,” including in this case folks like the CIA, the deputy national editor (check the title) of the New York Times, President Johnson, J. Edgar (aka “Mary”) Hoover, the US “Justice” Department (remember that “establish Justice” is the 2nd on the list of reasons that “We the People” did ordain and establish the Constitution, as set out in the Preamble's six “In order to:”s), US Army personnel, Tennessee state officials, including mem-

bers of the judiciary, Memphis city police (of which the actual assassin (name) was a member, and finally, members of The Mafia (including the Memphis wholesale “greengrocer,” who served as conduit for the hit money and the hit weapon, the New Orleans Mr. Big, and even a chubby night-club owner by the name of Jack Ruby (now where have I heard that name before?). All were involved in some way, little or large, to execute MLKjr. And the cast of characters apparently includes one member of MLK's inner circle, or at least someone who quite successfully posed as that person.

I'm curious to know if anyone has filed a Freedom of Information Act petition to access the pictures taken from the Fire Station #2? roof before during and after the fatal shot was fired. According to Attorney Pepper's investigation, they weren't using video, but one cameraman got several pix of MLK collapsing just after the coup de grace, and the other got pix of the puff of smoke and the rifleman emerging from the underbrush in Jowers' back lot, running a few yards and then jumping into a police cruiser and making hasty exit from the scene.

I remain interested in these assassinations if for no other reason that, in the JFK case, I was a cub reporter at the Watertown Daily Times that ripped the AP announcement off our teletype machine and passed it to the City Editor, and then participated in the re-plate /special edition the Times put out in time for home delivery; that in the MLK assassination case, Martin Luther King Jr. was killed on my birthday anniversary; in the case of RFK, he was JFK's brother, and the patsy routine in Lee Harvey Oswald's case was so obviously replicated in Sirhan Sirhan's case, and on a bigger scale (like, say, “Operation Northwoods,” where international stakes are on the table and the Joint Chiefs of Staff are major decision-makers, are replicated by 9/11/2001, probably the Oklahoma City office building bombing, certainly the 1993 World Trade Center bombing, and perhaps the airplane deaths of Senator Paul Wellstone and his family, and JFKjr., his

wife and a family friend (and the alleged third passenger, JFKjr.'s instrument-flying instructor).

Dunno about you, but for me, even after 71 years of senescing, it is relatively easy for me to set aside prejudices (at least the ones I know and know I don't know--the ones I don't know I don't know are, of course, a bit more difficult to address. (If anyone of you knows the source of that little bit of philosophy Don Rumsfeld quoted, I'd love to hear from you. The one that goes: “You know what you know, and can know what you don't know, but in other cases, you don't know what you don't know, or words to that effect. Write ThePreambleProject@verizon.net if you would.

So, for example, I have no difficulty accepting as valid the hypothesis that World Trade Center buildings #7, #2 and #1 (and the core of #6), were demolished by carefully prepared and placed explosives. Laws of chemistry and physics (and not the antacid kind, or formic acid kind, for that matter) are involved (structural steel melts at about 2,600 degrees Fahrenheit, loses some of its tensile strength at 1,200 degrees F., and, according to the NIST report in this case, the fires in towers 1 and 2 never produced more than about 600 degrees F). And that these laws of chemistry can be replicated, reproduced by anyone with the necessary thermometer, raw materials and ignition method (anyone gotta match?), the world over.

Laws of physics are involved, like a body at rest tends to stay at rest, a body in motion tends to stay in motion; objects in motion tend to move in the path of least resistance, if they can help it, and are stopped dead in their tracks when they can't; that objects falling from on high to earth will accelerate equally until attaining a maximum rate of descent, which is calculated by the formula [find the formula--something about 32 feet per second squared].

As you look at all of the video clips of towers #7, #2 and #1 as they collapse, you notice the incredible clouds of dust coming from #1 and #2. (#7, not so much, yet impressive

still. You notice the bits and pieces of building's facade spewing out horizontally, and some lofting vertically from the top of the two towers and falling back down, all in a graceful, scenic parabola. You see pictures taken during the aftermath of the twin towers' collapsing, large gobbets of building facade stuck high off the ground in the sides of buildings a block away from the towers. A couple of them look for all the world as if some dude like Paul Bunyan had been practicing his dart game with bits and pieces of the towers' facades, tossing them into the dartboards comprised of the facades of neighboring buildings.

When you compare the collapses of #1 and #2 to the collapse of #7 (well after #2 and #1 dropped, in about ten seconds--pretty much the same time it would take for an unencumbered bowling ball to fall in the free air from the 110th story of any old skyscraper you'd happen to choose--the Tower of Pisa not barely withstanding), it's a very different animal. That is, no bits and pieces are hurtling out or off the perpendicular sides of the building and sticking into the windows and walls of adjacent buildings. The collapse of tower #7 was, according to a former Controlled Demolition, Inc. explosives "loader," a beautiful, thorough example of a classic "controlled demolition" take-down. First, the central internal columns are blown out at the base of the building--some seven sub-levels deep (Ka-thunderous-ground-shaking-BOOM!) (✓). This lets the interior center of the structure readjust itself downwards, which causes the center of the roof to "crack," (✓) to cave in and tug at the exterior facade and interior floors down with it--(✓) causing the building to "implode" or fall in on itself, (✓) 'til all the rubble ends up in a heap in the cellar hole (seven levels deep). This is called an "implosion" in controlled explosive demolition parlance (✓, ✓, and ✓).

I'd assert that almost everyone in the US, were they to take the time to look around them, would discover how obvious the lie is

that our government officials have cooked up to feed undiscerning citizens.

Example: People keep asking things like, "Well, if they used explosives, how did they get them into the twin towers?" They forget to ask about the third skyscraper, WTC #7, which was demolished at 5:20 pm the same day, because the collapse of WTC #7 is not mentioned in the coverup 'myth' (what I and many others call Philip Zelikow's best-selling novel, *"The Official 9/11 Committee Report"*). However, if folks were to look carefully at their elevator control panels, wherever they work or live, they might find a key-controlled setting or button or switch called "Inspect Car Top." And guess what that key-controlled setting/button does: It drops the car down mid-floors so that someone standing outside the car can access the top of the car. That's necessary for inspecting and maintaining the rails and cables, etc., that're used by the elevator car. But it could also be the position used to load up the roof of the car with explosives and explosive-installation tools and equipment. And of course, in the case of the three World Trade Center Buildings, #1, #2 and #7, where there were 47 core columns in towers #1 and #2, and 25 core columns in tower #7, the main columns that supported each of the three buildings were in the core of the buildings, and were wrapped around the elevator and other service shafts. Turns out there were substantial elevator renewal / repair/ maintenance activities going on in the weeks leading up to 9/11/2001. All anyone with access (the keys) had to do is place one little "caution, slippery when wet" or Elevator Out of Service for Maint./Repair sandwich board outside the elevators they were "inspecting," set the elevator cars for "inspect top" and then, from the least-busy sub-basement floor (1-6 or 7, whatever), load the tops of the huge elevator cars with whatever explosives, cutter-charges, sub-basement-core-busting bombs that were in play, and then ride up, installing the explosives from the car-tops--unless of course there was sufficient walking room to

get off the car roofs and weave explosives in amongst the columns and beam anchors and cross-bracing without being constrained by the ample, but nonetheless not unlimited, real estate of the WTC elevator car tops.

Am in the waiting room of central Pennsylvtuckey hospital, Geisingers, in Danville, PA, serviced by a fleet of five LiFlight Huies--or their look-alike. Think of the OD M.A.S.H. helicopters flying in, not to a landing pad of earth, but a rooftop (with orange wind-sock) heliport, with stretchers of the wounded and worse--though triage would suggest that the "worse" would be driven in or taken straight to the mortuary, maybe.

Has anyone heard/seen any reports from Sandy Hook Elementary in Newtown, Connecticut lately--1st week in April? I'm pretty much unconvinced that any children or adults were gunned down, with 11 rounds per each, and am curious as to how this latest government hoax/fraud/false flag operation is going to resolve, whether in video or in Ken Burns'-effect slide-shows. And I do think the proper response of the citizenry is to take the responsibly paranoid position that the gummint is after our guns (not that the gummint, with taxpayer-financed weaponry, won't out-gun probably any combination of state militias, up to and including all 50 states'-worth of 'em) is to buy up all the weapons and ammunition one can find/afford. What with *Die Heimats Versicherung Abteilung* buying up billions of cartridges, in hollow-point as well as "full metal jacket" rounds [check this--I think now it's hollow-points only], it would seem that the federal government is preparing to go on a killing and incarceration spree. Against We the People.

And remember, just because you're paranoid, doesn't mean that they're **not** out to get you.

I haven't seen it yet, but some folks still concerned that we know hardly anything about what actually happened on 9/11/2001, that seminal day that excused the legislatures and executive branches from "supporting and de-

fending the US Constitution against all enemies, foreign & especially domestic," have produced a movie dealing with the psychological issues of denial about 9/11--the such an operation must have taken thousands of people to pull off, and surely someone would have tattled; the surely no American government could just up and murder 3,000 Americans because they wanted an excuse to take away our civil rights and wanted to spend more money on the military-industrial-legislative-espionage-bankster complex?

But if you took a really hard look at US history, you'd find that on only 15 of the 216 days between 1797 and now, give or take, was the nation "at peace"--waging no wars, occupations, etc., as I've noted above.

That figures out to 7% that a "peace-loving nation," an "exceptional nation," was not killing, mutilating and maiming (and torturing) some powerless impoverished-but-raw-materialsich nation somewhere in the world.

If you add up the 15 million Arawaks Columbus killed, a few dozen more million Native Americans killed, all of the dead and deformed from all of those something like 146 wars we initiated, coups we managed or precipitated, etc., the mass slaughter of civilians we enabled in places like Cambodia, Laos, North and South Vietnam, Iraq, Afghanistan, Central America, South America, Africa, Indonesia, the Philippines, ... y'know, start adding up 10 million here, a dozen million there, and pretty soon you're stacking corpses up to the 14th floors along Broadway (assuming that you'd undertake measuring how many corpses or cadavers would be required to fill Broadway, from 34th to 89th Streets, just for the halibut.

Speaking of body counts, several batches of reporters asked various military officers during the invasions and occupations of Afghanistan and Iraq how many civilians had been killed. They all answered, "We don't do body counts," and the reporters let them off the hook with that answer. The appropriate reportorial response would have been, "But sir, the

Geneva Conventions, which Congress ratified and the President signed into The Supreme Law of the Land, specifies that bodies of both combatants and non-combatants must be counted, reports of their death sent to a clearing house for the notification of families, and the conquering party must ascertain the victims' religion, as well as name, rank and serial number, if a soldier, and name, address, etc., if a non-combatant, and then provide the dead a decent burial, based upon the practices of his or her religion. A failure to make this accounting and honor these rules is a violation of the Geneva Conventions and, thus, a violation of the United States War Crimes Act. This act makes it a war crime to ignore or contravene any provision of the Geneva Conventions. The act further provides that if the prisoner, whether combatant or non-combatant, dies at the hands of his or her captors, then it becomes a capital crime, punishable by death. [See the latest on "body counts" at [The Constitution Project](#), if I haven't mentioned it already.

As I like to sum up the War Crimes Act: They weren't just playing tiddly-winks when they passed this law.

[Something here about the novel or cable show]

Hamilton Moment:

Ahh, springtime on the Hill. Warmth in the evening after the sun's gone down. Walking around the quad, strumming a guitar. SPLASH. Just as I was passing Carnegie heading towards the libe, the water balloon caught me on the chin and mostly sluiced into the *f* holes of my pawn-shop guitar. I don't think more than ten drops made it to the ground. I was sooo jealous (and wet). It was just the perfect, perfect shot, with the target completely oblivious — no tell-tale wet-spots on the pavement — a splendid startle reflex, and a dead-on strike. When we'd climb out on the South tower roof, with waste baskets filled with water-balloons, you really only got one completely oblivious target because the steps were dry. Thereafter, every vic-

tim coming or going could see the danger (that "What's wrong with this picture?" survival thing).

Of course, the skill involved was in knowing how long to let the intended victim slither along the dorm facade, back to the wall, eyes fixed overhead on the battlements, hoping to see the enemy (us) as he crouched in the crenellations, four stories above, to guess when he'd make a break for the stairs and dryness, and launch the projectile with just the right amount of lead to ensure that the ball[loon]istic trajectory and *the yonge son(s)/ in the ram his halve cours yronne* before we ballooned 'em... would intersect. Such confluences were. ever-satisfying.

I would have to add that this aqueous target practice was good training for target acquisition and disposition on the Army rifle ranges at Ft. Dix, and for having some general knowledge of the effect gravity has on falling objects, useful in coming to one's own conclusions about the three World Trade Center buildings that were brought down on 9/11/2001 with far more sophisticated explosive devices than our water-filled balloons of yore.

Yet the processes are close to completely congruent: Manufacture the weaponry (at the sink or at the Navy Weapons Labs (they hold the patent(s) on the new nano-thermate explosives, I recall); pack and transport the weapons (in waste baskets or trucks, most likely); move them into place (take the baskets of water balloons out onto the roof without damage to yourself or the tar roof--or place the explosive "cutter" charges in pairs, at 45° angles on the main support columns, every other floor or so--you want to end up with column segments short enough to fit on a flat-bed truck to get them out of the crime scene quickly); choose your target (the hapless fellow student or the hapless citizenry both within and without skyscrapers #1, #2 and #7), carefully time your launch so weapon and target intersect, then throw the balloon — or press "enter" on your

laptop.app to begin the detonation chain reaction.

It does seem difficult for many folks to admit to the possibility of such a government-run scenario, particularly if they have ingested the Flavor Aid® that the U. S. of A. is somehow more moral, freedom-loving, democracy-exporting than any other nation in the world. As I've noted above, with only 15 out of 216 years spent without major military action, the rhetoric doesn't match our deeds. Perhaps the only truth about the US is that it is a Constitutional Republic, formed on the lands of the dispossessed former owners, starting in 1492 with Columbus's extermination of some 8 million to 15 million Arawak indians, according to Howard Zinn's reporting in *A People's History of the United States: 1492 to the Present*--with an afterward updated towards the end of his life some three years ago.

The impression one is left with after reading Zinn's book, Operation Phoenix, by Valentine, *Crossing the Rubicon*, Bamford's works on the National Surveillance Agency (NSA; also National Security Agency and No Such Agency), *Body of Secrets*, *Puzzle Palace*, *A Pretext for War*, and *The Shadow Factory*--Also the March, 2012 cover story for *WIRED* Magazine on the NSA's new "spyCloud" a'buildin' in Bluffdale, Utah;. Chalmers Johnson's works:



...along with the transcript of the Coretta Scott King & family vs. Lloyd Jowers & other, unidentified co-conspirators (and the Justice Dept's rather lame defense a year or so later, posted on the web), and you get a picture of a national government, if not the ordinary citizens, that should be at the very top of any Ter-

rorist Nations list, given the constant lawbreaking conduct of our agents all over the world. And at home.

Speaking of which, here's an indication of how badly we're now doing. In today's NY Times (Monday, 8 April 2013) the off-lead editorial, *The Trouble With Drones*, the drop-head reads "President Obama still hasn't laid out a formal legal framework for drone strikes."

It has a "reasonable" sound to it. Until you read the US Constitution, all amendments included. Of particular relevance are the 5th and 6th Amendments:

[ARTICLE V.]

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, **nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law**; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

[ARTICLE VI]

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence. [my emph. added. ed., or au.]

We **already** have the legal framework in the Constitution. I find no room in which to generate a "new formal legal framework for drone strikes," particularly against American citizens and their 16-year-old sons. Drone strikes are murder or, if you wish to be punctilious, as they come from a Presidential Kill List, presidential assassinations.

We have an attorney general, Rickie Holder, who tried to snow a Chicago law

school class (or audience? I seem to recall, without googling, he was talking to a really sophisticated first-year class) with the sophisticated notion that “due process” does not mean “judicial process.” Unfortunately, the constitution provides for only one species of due process, which is administered by the 3rd branch of gummint, the “Judiciary.” I would say there’s no due process without the judiciary. (Small reminder: the first “in order to”s of the Preamble are 1) form a more perfect Union, and 2) establish Justice. So here we are, some several weeks after December 15, 1791, still without having our gummint “establish Justice.”

The late Howard Zinn pointed out the problem pudgy, egotistical little Johnny Adams had with the 1st Amendment, that “Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press,” but Congress, aided by #2 Prexy Adams, made a law anyway, called the Sedition Act (in tandem w/ The Alien Act), and threw publishers in jail. (Jefferson, #3, released them). There was a proceeding in one of the prosecutions, wherein the judge averred that “make no law” meant “make no law that imposes **prior restraint** on publishing, following the English common law.” Zinn asked, why is it we’re citing English common law as precedent in the United States of America? I thought we had just won a war of separation from the English? And, seriously now, what part of “make no law” didn’t Adams understand?

Of course, that was then.

But now, today, what part of the 4th Amendment does every member of Congress and of the Executive Branch (and the Judiciary)— seemingly just not understand?

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

So one of our many enumerated-and-retained rights is to be secure in our persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures, and the only way to make them “reasonable” is by getting a Warrant, on probable cause, supported by Oath, etc.

Given that Constitutional adjuration, why is it, then, that we have the National Surveillance Agency copying every digital thing we do via telecommunications, completely without warrant — since **2002**?

You might be tempted to say, well, Congress passed, and the Unitary Liar-In-Chief signed into law, the U.s.a.p.a.t. R.i.o.t. Act authorizing that stuff. But that would effectively amend the Constitution’s 4th Amendment, an enumeration or list of rights retained by We the People. The only way you can amend the Constitution is set out, in that self-same document, in Article V. And I can assure you, without reading Article V again, that even a unanimous vote of both houses of congress won’t do the trick. So what part of the US Constitution did the President, vice president, 100 members of the senate and 435 members of the house swear to “support and defend”? (I call them, collectively, The Gang of 537, but every state official and every federal employee and every soldier must also take the same oath as members of the executive, judicial and legislative branches.

Only the President SEEMS to promise more: His oath is to “preserve, protect and defend” the Constitution, but with one gaping loophole: he only has to do so “to the best of [his] ability,” which ability has run the gamut from zero to none for our past two presidents. Naaah, let me revise that: for our past N presidents, starting w/ Truman (or FDR if you wish), Ike, 33, to Obama, 43, almost the last 10 of ’em.

We face similar Constitutional conduct problems with the Writ of Habeas Corpus, which Writ is writ in the body of the Constitution — and the problem is that you cannot

amend the Constitution by statute; you can only amend it by Constitutional Amendment.

Ditto the two provisions in the FY2012 National “defense” authorization act, giving the prexy the power to label any citizen or non-citizen as “wrong thinkers” and order the military to nab them, throw ’em into a military brig indefinitely, incommunicado, etc., completely fails the Constitutional test on its face (or “facially” the Supremes are now calling it—perhaps they’ll revise “stands on all fours with” [pick a case or statute] to the phrase “is **leggedly** with” [case or statute]). (Leggedly being like Stephen Colbert’s *Truthiness*.) As a very serious, “And, oh by the way,” the Constitution makes no distinction between “citizen” and “non-citizen,” as in “**no person** shall be held to answer ... for a crime,” and “In all criminal prosecutions, **the accused** shall ...”. No adjustments in the Constitution for non-citizens as to these fundamental rights, retained by the people. (Plus the **unenumerated reserved powers** reside in the 10th Amendment, and **unenumerated retained rights**, in the 9th.)

To return to the kerfuffle known as 9/11/2001, I am more than amazed--more nearly dumbfounded, that more of us citizens have not mounted a forceful campaign to strip those in command of our DEFENSES on 9/11/2001 of their rank, benefits, etc. Recall that we’re told by US officials that the US is the only super-power left in the world (might makes right; work sets you free). Yet we’re successfully attacked with four of our OWN large airplanes (supposedly passenger airliners, but questions on that score remain). And the officials in charge of this “defense” that completely failed, were, astoundingly, GIVEN PROMOTIONS.

For WHAT? For failure to defend their nation and their constitution from all enemies, foreign and domestic? *Promotions*? They should all have been court martialed and busted to privates (boots) or to 2nd Lieutenant. and sentenced to significantly long stays at Ft. Leavenworth.

As several folks have asked about this and other related topics, “Where is the rage against these outrages. Like, no kidding, where IS the outrage/enrage/anger, etc., re: the dastardly doings of our supposed “leaders”?”

The only one of our classmates who joined the CIA was in Epsilon Lambda Sigma, as I facetiously liked to call it, rather than ELS, or Emerson Lit’ry Society. Much later learned that Geo. Nesbit was on the ELS board of trustees (found that out while checking the list I was using as “alumni secretary” to mail out my mental meanderings of what was going on at the House, now a gateway to all sorts of commercial and collegiate activities, but most definitely not a fraternity house).

Our Guy in the CIA, “Hotness,” or Paul--would come back from weekend “rolls” to all over the place, and he explained that he didn’t hitch car rides (too pedestrian--what with the brake and gas pedals ‘n’ all), but rather hitch-hiked from local airports, which was unique, at least in my annals of hitchhiking at the time (in military uniform, I was able to thumb rides to California, New Jersey, Oklahoma, Texas, all in an unsuccessful attempt to hitch a ride to our big base in Trabzon, Turkey). But when another of “the bro” analyzed the small difficulty he’d had in getting into dental school, because of participating in a Woolworth’s Lunch Counter Sit-In in Utica, somehow he put things together (apparently the CIA bro was the only other brother in the know). I don’t know whether Our Man In The CIA was in the assassination department, the drug-running department, the weapons-running part, or a desk jockey doing something as innocuous as editing the CIA’s World Fact-Book, which is a pretty well-done publication. If he shows up at the reunion, we can certainly ask.

In the meantime, I would rather Hamilton not let the CIA recruit from the College. Why not leave the assassinations to the Yalies (they seem to do it so well, except that they’re getting sloppier and sloppier as they become aware that they can probably get away with

anything, in this land of the Sheeple (VERY fat, and dumb).

Then, perhaps a brave, Constitution-supporting and -defending Hamster would make it to the Attorney Generals seat and launch the prosecutions that are required to teach the lesson that, as was established at Nürnberg, each member of the government, or military, has to determine whether an order is legal or not, then act accordingly. There is no “I was just following orders,” or “I had a gun pointed at my head” exculpation of responsibility for breaking the laws.

Having been under duress or forced to follow illegal orders can only be used in mitigation of a sentence for committing such war crimes. It's not a ‘get-out-of-jail-free’ card.

I don't think the citizens of the US should countenance any diminution of prosecution or sentencing because someone “is too big to jail,” too high-and-mighty for the hoosegow. It goes back to adjuration #2 in the Preamble: We the People of the United States, in order to...(2) establish Justice, ...do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

Obama's and his family's history of service to the CIA in the Pacific region certainly militates against him ever prosecuting the CIA lawbreakers (*see* the rather remarkable “long-form reportage” called: [The Manufacturing of a President: The CIA's Insertion of Barack H. Obama, Jr., Into the White House](#)), by Wayne Madsen, “now available in paperback.” But someone in the Body Politic ought to keep raising the questions.

Tell me if I've suggested this to you before, but do take a hard look at any “tragedy” from which any government attempts to derive momentum for some particular agenda or agenda “item.” The democrats' Rahm Immanuel noted that a government should “let no good crisis go to waste,” or words to that effect. The Project for a New American Century, or PNAC (*op cit.*), on page 51 of its [Rebuilding](#)

[America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources for a New Century](#) proposal for global domination (including space), noted this: “Further, the process of [military] transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event — like a new Pearl Harbor.”

There's a new film out, [can't find the thing now--ahh, not a film, a paperback, “Postmark: 9/11”], a work of fiction, which describes the natural stages of awareness on the matter of the World Trade Center demolition of #7, #2 and #1 (and maybe #6, though I haven't heard definitively on that topic). [Question: in the basement of what WTC building were the gold vaults situated? How many armored (or other) vehicles were found loaded with bullion under.]

Oaths of Office:

Speaking of which, for some time I've been thinking about the practice of oath-taking in US government and in the Judicial and Legislative and Executive branches. The presidential oath is set out in the Constitution: “I do solemnly swear ... that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States.”

All other federal and state officials take the statutory oath set out in 5 USCode §3331. Enlisted folks in the military take the same oath, along with this addition: “[check] to obey the [legal?] commands of my superior officers and unitary liar-in-chief--this locution being the one hit upon by the Bush II administration, as distinguished from God (or Christ?) in three persons, holy trinity.

The significant point here is that, while non-officers are supposed to obey the orders of their ranking persons or persons of higher rank, military officers owe their loyalty only to the Constitution. I take this to mean that if any officer, federal employee or even Unitary Liar-In-Chief, is attacking the Constitution, undermining it, committing treason, etc., high crimes and misdemeanors, our US military officers can arrest and detain for impeach-

ment and removal from office or hold fro prosecution, even the President of the U S of A.

On the other hand, non-commissioned officers are supposed to follow orders from their superior (in rank only, of course) officers, including at the top of the “chain of command,” the Unitary Liar-In-Chief, except that the topic of what to do about illegal orders is not covered in the enlisted persons’ oath of office. Draftees, by the way, took the same oath, though they constitute a different branch of service, according to my lights (see *supra*) and experience — the different intake standards, the different serial number designation (US+8n, for example, instead of RA+8n), the different length of service (2 years ’sted of 3), and the very different mind-set of those drafted vs. those who enlisted

Federal justices take an additional oath, to: “[administer justice w/o regard to friend or foe and w/o fear or favor, something like that][check cite].

The Constitution requires that all state and federal officials swear an oath of office:

Article VI, ¶3 — “The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States.”

The statue which sets out this oath of office is:

The “So help me God” tagline is, of course, unconstitutional.

Other parts of the law require the oath-takers (not to be confused with Oath Keepers, may Yahweh make their number legion--and FAST) to sign two or so copies of the printed version of the oath [which is filed someplace and might be subject to a FOIA Request--check the cite]

A couple of other provisions: Nowhere is there stipulated an affirmative duty to blow the whistle on fellow oath-takers (or government officials, if you wish). Except for the oath itself, which stipulates that the oath-taker will “support and defend” the US Constitution,

“against all enemies, foreign and domestic.”

The source of our greatest number of traitors (folks who do not honor their oath of office) is, of course, domestic, within the government itself.

Hamilton Moment:

Reflect for a moment on the resignation of former Hamilton College President Nicholas Caravano for a violation of the college honor code.

Has there ever been another case like it? Even close? Has there ever been a case where the head of an institution of higher learning, as we like to call ‘em, subjects himself willingly (don’t know how willing tho) to the same code of honor that is imposed on undergraduates?

[Need to put said code *recht hier*.]

Now compare what you can recall of the conduct of the folks over your lifetime (thus far) who were required to, and did, take the oaths of office, *supra*. From what I’ve learned of the European Invaders, from Columbus-the-Genocidalist (of the 15 million (or so) Arawak Indians in the Caribbean), to [how big a list do you want?]. Native North Americans, some 9 million; African Americans; Hawaiians, Indonesians, Filipinos, Dresdeners, the victims of the fire-bombing of Japanese wooden cities, US troops at Pearl Harbor not alerted by their own government of the oncoming Japanese attack, Chileans, Argentinians, Brazilians, Haitians, Dominicans, Puerto Ricans, ...

Reflect on our system of “justice,” that we have criminals who are “too big to jail,” that we have more citizens in prison per capita than any other nation in the world. That is, we’re unique among nations for some having committed some really, really bad shit.

We won’t join the World Court, given that the top four or five levels of our government would likely be tried, convicted and imprisoned (or “detained” for years) were we to make ourselves subject to that court’s jurisdiction. With our former enemy and now ally

Great Britain, we subjected the Iraqi people to c. 13 years (thirteen years) of “collective punishment” with our nearly complete embargo of economic relations between Iraq and the rest of the world.

Imagine if a Hamilton president or a Hamilton alumnus or alumnae were president and said that he or she could kill American Citizens and other human beings without due process of law.

Bottom line: Hamilton College is at the very least, profoundly unusual in its practices anent the honor code; it may even be unique. And while it may seem to you that our honor code is merely about plagiarism and cheating on examinations, note that we also undertook the affirmative duty to report any cheating and plagiarism we witnessed or became aware of to our fellow alumni. You could call it whistle-blowing.

Who is blowing the whistle on a succession of presidents and their staffs who subvert the Constitution? I accept as true, until proven otherwise, that every telephone line, every fiber-optic strand, every wi-fi/cell phone line and/or account is tapped, and has been since early in 2002, according to James Bamford, in *The Shadow Factory*. That is, every piece of communication you or I have involving the telecommunications network/grid in the US (and, I assume, in most other countries around the world) is copied by the National “Security” Administration. (as I noted above, Truth In Labeling would require the name change to “National Surveillance Agency”). Further, every piece of communication sent between corporations, companies, business entities that uses the communications grid is also copied by the NSA, which is at least one of the reasons the NSA is building a new gigundous “spyCloud” or ground-based data center, in Bluffdale, Utah— the NSA has run out of space at Lackland AFB in Texas--or has run out of sufficient electrical power for expansion, if in fact they

don't lack land on which to build new facilities there.

{As I write, I'm sitting on my mother's skilled nursing facility bed as she sleeps in her recliner. Sister Elaine has now decided to bring her home for the last round. As she put it, “I've done this before,” referring to her mother- and father-in law, to both of whom she provided hospice care. Quite recently, in fact.}

Let's consider what “every piece of communication you or I have involving the telecommunications network/grid in the US... means. Telephone conversations are an obvious choice. The means by which don't matter--cell phone, WiFi, Voice over Internet Packet (?) VoIP, land-line. Infra-red from hand-held to hand-held might escape copying. Pictures are another obvious choice, along with music, drawings, paintings.

Then there are all your digital communications with your bank or with other banks: transfers, charges, debits, withdrawals, deposits. All your digital interactions with government, from library borrowing to license plates, vehicle identification numbers, digital toll transponders, voting records--perhaps even your actual choices in elections.

Then there are all of your financial records, unless you use cash or something like BitCoin exclusively, income tax filings (though the jury and the Supreme Court (? cite?) have agreed that the 16th Amendment, creating an income tax, was both flawed in its adoption process, and granted no additional authority to that already granted by the Constitution and the amendments thereto. [? check cite--re: granting no additional powers], and the Constitution gave no power to levy non-apportioned taxes, or whatever that thing is. “No capitation, or other direct, Tax shall be laid, unless in Proportion to the Census or Enumeration herein before directed to be taken.” And Congress shall have power

- 1). To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises,

2). [T]o pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;[cr] [Why is “taxes” in ¶1 not repeated here?]

All of your health records and other records maintained about you (education--like report cards, now probably all course papers & projects done with computers, printed/shared/sent over the iNternet copied.

Now, to the extent the so-called biometric information about you is collected and entered into a database, and that data flows over the web, more info is collected. Periodical subscriptions, drugs, your shopping lists linked via “loyalty cards” to your name, ...

Biometrics such as face, maybe phrenology will come back into favor, iris pattern, retinal scans, height, weight, DNA, all the “telemetry” information collected about you while in a hospital, including x-rays, ultrasound scans, CAT scans, all blood tests.

As per the 2010 Census, the GPS coordinates of your “home of record” has been captured and input. One can imagine a drone or some other kind of GPS-guided device homing in on your dining room, home office or underground Attempt To Avoid Death By Martial Law bunker (though perhaps the record of a mere x,y coordinate pair would need to be augmented with house floor plans filed with the municipality’s Buildings Inspector--which plans will be, soon will be, or are already in digital form).

To this, add your personal genome, is it? Or DNA, anyhow, in digital form, like your tooth x-rays, chest x-rays, prostate x-rays, your “gait” — and I seriously wonder whether this little bit of personal biometrics wasn’t launched by John Cleese in his skit, *The Department of Silly Walks* on Monty Python’s Flying Circus.

That old stand-by for art-trying-to-masquerad-as-science, “latent fingerprints,” could become much more sophisticated, as

mundane things like credit card scanners start reading for fingerprints on the front and back of your card.

And how about the first example, telephone calls, in a biometric sense. A 7/8th passle of people believe that all of the alleged “cell phone calls” from “hijacked airlines” from 9/11/2001 were computer-aided impersonations, based on some number-or-length of recorded voice calls which give the computer speech synthesizers enough info to build a vocabulary and sentences, making it sound real. This would be enriched Identity Theft; they’re counterfeiting your voice and shopping it around for enormous impact.

Howabout digital smells? Just the pheromone of his/her missing mate, children, pets, becoming digital and used against all of us.

So we’d have iris-prints, retina-prints, fingerprints, blink prints, gait-prints, voice-prints, laugh prints, hand-prints, face prints, footprints (forgot to mention those before). This is some pretty through personal bio-data. [Slipping away: It’s 4:11. E has shaken me awake. “You might want to give Mom another kiss.” I’m in the next room, walk into Mom’s room. It’s been hers for the past 10 years. Lit now with stumpy candles and a night light. “Pee and go back to bed,” Elaine says. I head back to my room (David’s, actually. He’d gotten married a week ago to Joni in Georgia. Atlanta. I stayed in Danville with Mom, in Geisinger, with it’s mile-long halls.

Well, I guess I did have to pee, now that I’m a few more steps awake. Elaine’s siting in the red leather chair dad got from Gunlocke when they lived in Springwater, talking to mom. Without my ears, I can make out the murmur but not the words. The pink nightlamp must be on; light is more pinkish than candle yellow. But there are indeed candles. I put my ear to mom’s nose to hear her shallow breathing, the sussuration of the compressor’s oxygen flowing through mom’s cannula. Air supply guy tells me the compressor delivers 95% oxygen.

I'd zoomed over to meet him at Elaine's from RiverWoods in Lewisburg. River Road to 15 to 642 to Narhood to Oak Grove. He was in his van. Solomon and Sheba, brother and sister Bernese half-ton furballs, are sprawled, attentive yet tranquil, on the driveway. Parnell? 's in the truck. "You have to wait long? No, just about 5 minutes. I thought I'd stay in the truck 'til someone came.

You certainly got here fast.

I'm from Bloomsburg, just hopped on 80 to get here.

[get name from receipt. His tiny scrawl's as illegible as mine--]

please, print your name too?

Sure--

your writing's worse than mine, and that's saying a lot. Thanks

I was going to rest before the ambulance brought mom home, but it was 5:52 when I looked, and ambulance was coming at 6:00. So I set up the Proton 3000 radio on her desk stand, clipped the magnetic Sirius antenna to the cafe curtain-rod. sheers on window--- probably the other heavier drapes E. had sent back for repair with the curtain lady. Window treatments. WBUR advertises InYouWindow. Never seen name in print, but remember the pun. Can't remember if that was from Geisinger or RiverWoods (ACS, Addison?Care Services). ACSGUEST's the Wi-Fi router name--I can get a signal from the solarium in C wing, or have to walk/drive over to the cafe/restaurant. Barry says "I've been here 32 years. I love this place. Used to be Lewisburg Orphanage. It's way sunny and green; three 48-inch riding mowers carry their drivers through this first cutting of spring, leveling off all the fast-growing tufts. Used to be able to smell the cut grass. One of my favorite smells, even though I had to cut it.

Murmurs.

Want to check in with Alex Jones of Amblyville, can't remember the one lone liberal city....ah, Austin, about this new "drill" SEALs and "homeland" "security" of IEDs

planted near Marathon finish line. YouTube videos of "realistic" "terrorist attack. Actors who've already lost limbs from auto accidents, diabetes, made up with gore packs, spare body parts also dressed, put into the already empty sleeve or pants leg. Hyper realism, to get the newbie troops acclimated to the gore of war before they go over. Also acclimate civilian handlers for eventual martial law, blowing up fellow citizens not in uniform to tamp down dissent with terror even before petitioning for redress of grievances can catch its breath, or take arms against what we used to think of as "our US government" now having morphed over the days of the 21st century into real enemies of the Constitution, foreign and domestic. Can't hear the murmuring through the sheet-rock.

I unpinned the hinges on the doors to mom's room and Isaac's room to get the electric lift/recliner-seat from Isaac's room to mom's room. Christ, they're solid doors. Though the 17 pound radio seemed a challenge even. Used to carry 34 to 40 pounds of publications, specs, etc, in a briefcase X1 had bought me for my 40th.

SOUNDS like a shower is running. now 5:09 ayem. no sign of sun yet. Put the lap lid down and return to sleep. Eerie with light only from MacBook screen and touch switch on hi intensity reading lamp. wonder if

I have walking pneumonia my damned self. Can't be. Sympathetic Tachycardia hypochondria. (stored by suffix? -ia. (a la Thinker Stephen Pinker). That would be weird. Just allergies, I suppose. ChemTrails, Bill Moyer's blood test w/ 82 or so chemicals in his blood stream not there at birth. Better living through Chemistry indeed. GRAS. We haven't a clue what's safe or not, "generally regarded as" notwithstanding, imo.

Just read in *The True Story of The Bilderberg Group* that Noam Chomsky was at least

once an invitee. [The 2013 Bilderberger's meeting is either this weekend--17-19 May 2013 or last weekend-as an aside.] Which is perhaps why "He's not so good on 9/11," as the woman behind me in line at the questions microphone put it at one of Noam's show-ups at MIT). Shades of Sir Arthur Ponsonby's comment, in the 1929 classic *Falsehood in War Time*, that you have to have different scripts [now described as "talking points"--a much more diffuse euphemism than "script," particularly when concerning significant "events" that are part of Terror Theater, produced and staged by our gummint] for "the intelligentsia" (meaning The Chattering Class or My Mighty Wurlitzer, as an early [find name] CIA deppity)) and *hoi polloi*. The unshorn masses of sheeple can easily be brought along by appeals to the "reptilian brain"--images of gory stage makeup (who took those stills and videos? Who's got the provenance nailed down?), images of, or rather, just THOUGHTS of images of children with "from 9 to 11 bullet wounds each, inasmuch as no one claims to have seen the corpses of the KIA. That'd be, max, 286 cartridges leaving behind 286 brass cartridge casings. Have the Newtown producers accounted for all of the brass? Do they have the ballistics evidence linking each recovered bullet to a specific weapon?

Not many folks have learned the lesson that 98% of the time, all chin music from the gummint is a "passel of lies." I've not yet heard any members of the press corps, permanently assigned or just "drop-ins," respond in a press conference, or "Lie-Ops," to a gummint statement, "OK. Prove it." Or, "Can you break that down for our readers/audience as to what of that is a bald faced lie, a damned lie, a simple lie, a white lie or, heaven forfend, is in minuscule part true?"

Mom's obit:

Where the hell did I upload that file?

Undoubtedly there's

—MORE TK —

C U 'round the quad.

A Positive Alex Hamilton Historical Blip

I finally ran across a positive mention of our non-contributing name-sake, Alex The Ham. While most of the references to him ex-coriolate his sympathy with the British, his indefatigable lust for a "Central Private Bank" (tried & failed twice; third time, on I think 24 December, 1913, was the charm. Hardly a soul left in town. No one in Congress, and those present would constitute a quorum, I think. Meaning the private "federal" "reserve" system, could have been approved with just one person present (he'd have had to run back and forth from the podium to the well, I suppose, asking himself what bill he wanted to consider, if he had any amendments to offer, and so on, but it's been done before (though mostly in vaudeville)). (gotta wean myself from parentheticals.)

So, what was the positive reference: Hamilton apparently adhered to the notion that a young nation should use trade tariffs and quotas to free said nation from the competition of already-established countries (like England, say). This in The True Story of The Bilderberg Group, who slammed NAFTA and CAFTA through an ignorant (or bribed, or somnolent or just plain stupid) Congress. You remember what Ross Perot said about "that giant sucking sound you hear is all of our manufacturing jobs racing to Mexico" in that part of our history that's been called "The Race To The Bottom," or now more fancily, the re-calibration of first-world middle-class life-styles, down to Third World Levels, slightly enhanced.

The other giant sucking sound, of course, is the sound of money being vacuumed from the 99.9% and stored in the vaults beneath their

first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, etc., homes. Although many might see this opulence as disgusting (except for happy development officers from any eleemosynary or tax-exempt organization that gets a nice contribution or two. I may not be able to strike such a generous pose, but my daughter's boss has already done so--we'll be using this reunion some of the facilities she's donated.)

That's nice, of course. But we should perhaps be less cynical in the face of the Banksters' greed, because, after all, if their "share" of the wealth--more like "capture of the wealth"-- is 26% of all assets or "wealth," they should certainly be using 26% of all housing, 131,000,000 units, which would work out to 34,060,000 units, so having even 1,000 houses or so wouldn't be overly greedy, would it?

Steve Coll's recent book, the bin Ladens, very early on disqualifies itself as a serious work by about the 43rd and 74th pages, where, in real at-the-bottom-of-the-page footnotes (with asterisks), he makes a reference to the "9/11 attack launched by his brother, Osama," and the other, "recruited by Osama and flew the plane into the Pentagon".

Hmm. In the past several years, those keeping count have noticed that Rummy referred to "the missile attack on the Pentagon," that Mueller has noted the FBI has no evidence linking ObinLa to 9/11, that FBI isn't fersher fersher about any of the "19 hijackers' identities", and of course the so-called "pilot" of the Pentagon missile couldn't even rent a Cessna, much less spirit a 7n7-something through a corkscrew 270° turn, ending up just above lawn-level (the Pentagon lawn had already been mowed, and our gummint, certainly our War Dept., doesn't want to waste money on lawn-care) before punching out the 16-foot diameter hole. Also, some folks who are into radioactivity and depleted uranium noticed the chem/biø/radiological full hazmat outfits they were wearing to decontaminate "" the building. But not at

Ground Zero, of course--'cuz Christie Whitman assured us "the air is safe to breathe (NOT)". But Coll has apparently "drunk the Flavor-Ade" (it was not Kool-Aid™) and accepted the best-selling novel by Philip Zelikow (you remember "The 9/11 Commission Report" right?) as The One True Myth. I think publishers should hit upon a standard disclosure for the title page of all books published after 9/11, something like: "Notice: This work accepts the Official Myth of 9/11 as set out by Philip Zelikow in his novel "The 9/11 Commission Report," or, if not: This work accepts the laws of science and gravity, etc., and the working hypotheses of architects, engineers, physicists, chemists, pilots, sociologists & other professionals and their peer-reviewed publications that 9/11 was an "inside job," a "false flag psy-ops 'black' operation," a frame-up of the world's 2nd or 3rd largest religion," a "New Pearl Harbor" to provide an excuse for war," etc. But perhaps that's a tad prolix?

Actor Michael Douglas' son, Cameron, is serving a 5-year drug term at/in Lewisburg. Federal [prison population is 218,830](#) — 176,330 in BOP facilities, 29,303 in privatized facilities, 13,197 in CCMs (bureau of prisons, privatized & community corrections mgt. off.

Furthermore, I hope I'm not suggesting things you don't already know a great deal about, but please do pay attention to:

- 1) The wholesale (or perhaps retail) amendment of the US Constitution without benefit of Article V--that is, the Constitution as been amended, severely, without following the amending process set out in the Constitution itself. And this done by folks who've taken an oath to "support and defend" the Constitution, particularly against domestic enemies--which now seems to include every public official in the country, except perhaps the Oathkeepers.

- 2) Particular illegal / unconstitutional changes include: suspension of the Writ of

Habeas Corpus, suspension and/or repeal of Articles 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10 of the Bill of Rights, ongoing attempts at suspension or repeal of Article 2 (Bless Jon Stewart--he actually included Articles 9 and 10 when he listed all of the “retained rights” and “reserved powers” of We the People that’ve been “shredded”, just like “a damned piece of paper,” as Shrub characterized our founding document (It’s only 4 pages long, fancy that).

3) Repeal of the 1864-ish *Posse Comitatus* statute (can’t use War Department’s standing army to attack US citizens on US turf) and the complete integration of FBI, CIA, NRO (spy satellites), NSA (national surveillance agency--google NSA, Bluffdale Utah, James Bamford and read yourself sick), Guardia Nacional, State and Local police under the “control” of *Der Heimats Versicherung Abteilung*, as I call it. I’ve never heard “homeland” used as an adjective/pronoun about the US until after 9/11. And remember that the British and US Banksters were great supporters, and financiers, of Hitler as the Third Reich was taking over Germany--until they weren’t (or were called out for treason, but even then, the Banksters were “too rich (or big) to jail.”

4) Passage of the FY 2012 National Defense Authorization Act with its stinking, illegal, unconstitutional pretense of permitting the standing army to arrest any citizen in the US, at the prexie’s say-so, and toss ‘em into military prisons, indefinitely, thus completely vitiating the Bill of Rights on due process. PS: The prior Justice department couldn’t tell the difference between torture and going to the movies, tried to convince people who could see, read, breathe, walk, hear and think, that ratified Geneva Conventions (against torture, for example) had not become “the Supreme Law of the Land” as the Constitution stipulates. Hell, not only were “support and defend” (or the prexie’s “pre-

serve, protect and defend”) oaths forsworn by our three branches of government, but also our We the People’s instructions to our “public servants,” esp. “in order to ... establish Justice” in the Preamble completely flouted. And our next prexie even had the audacity to say that, anent having gummint officials be held accountable for their criminal conduct (see [18 USCode §2441](#), the US War Crimes Act), that it would “demoralize” the CIA torture personnel. Well, hell, that’s what criminal statutes are supposed to do: punish the guilty so others won’t be “moralized” or encouraged to do the same. This “look forward, not backward” bullshit (sorry to sound intemperate but this conduct is so heinous it’s almost impossible to countenance w/o retching). One explanation for the prexie’s failure to “see that the laws be faithfully executed (see Art. II of that “damned piece of paper”--characterization attributed to Shrub) is that Obie, his grand parents and parents were all on the CIA payroll in Hawaii and Indonesia (about the time the CIA had “weaponized” anthropology to kill surviving Sukarno supporters on behalf of the CIA and Suharto, the CIA’s man in Jakarta. Mommy helped prepare the Suharto “kill lists” (see *The Manufacturing of a President*), so our prexie apparently has already been “acculturated” (or desensitized) to “kill lists”.

5) Current “Attorney General” apparently thinks he can snow people who can read into thinking there is “due process” and “judicial process,” and the former is separate (but equal, right?) from the latter. Bullshit. There’s one judicial branch, and one Constitutional Due Process that is the province of the Judiciary. Once again, our ‘oath-takers’ in gummint have failed to read, or cannot understand, the plain English of the US Constitution.

6) Permanently installed wiretaps on all Americans. I mentioned this already, but as it’s been going on since Q1-2002, and it’s

worth another mention. These are warrant-less wire (and fiber) taps. Also surveillance cameras, the threat of a “national people tracker” called National ID, and something I just heard about, the ability of highly sensitive magnetic stuff in the spy/surveillance satellites, ground weapons, to detect a supposedly unique Mag-Print from space—a magnetic fingerprint, if you will, that can be read from space. And this “bio-metric” will of course be in the US ID card, chip. (See “The Last Enemy,” from the Beeb, and note too that “Total Information Awareness,” which the series creates as a character, was a c. 9/11 Poindexter effort, was killed for a time (Rummy gave up the name Total Information Awareness, with its lovely New World Order All-seeing eye pyramid that graces our \$1 “federal” “reserve” “note” (is in fact our national walrus)), for just a bit, but the Bluffdale NSA installation/system has revived it, maybe like Operation Phoenix in Vietnam (the CIA-run exercise to extirpate potential leaders, which led to the assassinations of something like 80,000 civic leaders. I guess it’s a good thing we have nukes, or we’d be fending off attacks from perhaps every nation in the world except UK, AUS, NZ. Even our allies attack us (see. attempted sinking of USS Liberty during the Yom Kippur or six-day war.

7) [Codex Alimentarius](#), [Agenda 21](#), UN disarmament, [chem-trails](#) -- just check the sky from time to time, and you’ll see these patterns--checkerboards, huge asterisks, etc. I thought they were a western phenomenon until May 7th. See my Facebook site (controlling weather with nano aluminum & other metallics, used in conjunction with [HAARP](#), possibly other “energy-gun” or “space ray” technology--scientists, not kooks or kochs, have begun to do some arithmetic about what happened/what caused the pulverization of WTC 1, 2 & 7 concrete, what “atomized” the engine blocks of several / some parked motor

vehicles, why the 110-floor towers should have left behind about 33 floors’-worth of debris, but only left 10 or so floors’-worth, why were several “dust-devil-like” vortices (aka twisters, mini-tornados, mini-hurricanes during the events of, ... etc.)

8) Propose and write legislation for your local government that would: ban all “homeland security exercises, war games, drills, mock emergencies and so on” using anything other than Legos in the village park--no humans, vehicles, rifles, tear-gas grenades, hand-guns, “rubber” bullets, in other words, like big tin soldier sets (or plastic). Why? Because in our recent experience we’ve seen that there is a pattern, where “games” and “drills” etc. are used to mask “real-world” events that the “games” eerily ape. And in any “realistic” game, drill, exercise, folks need only substitute real-world bombs, planes, explosives for the “realistic-looking fake stuff.” Examples abound: On 9/11/2001 there were from 12 to 16 “war games” underway on the day, and a FEMA bio-hazard “exercise” team was shipped in on the 10th; same thing in London on 7/7/2005, a “drill” involving the bombing of two “Underground” subway cars and one double-decker bus, but by golly, somehow real explosives were swapped in, which caused real-world damage to (guess what) two Underground train cars and one double-decker bus; the Newtown, Sandy Hook “massacre,” where nearly every person milling about was wearing an ID tag, identifying the role they were playing in the “responding to traumatized children” drill--and we are told by government officials that 20 children, 6 adults and one assassinator were killed, but we’ve never seen the bodies, the *corpi delecti*; in the Boston Marathon, there was another “drill” in train (when one internet station reporter loudly demanded to know what kind of drill it was that probably JSOC troops, with large backpacks and radio communication, were

telling people to “stay calm, this is only a drill” over portable bull-horns, Boston’s Mayor Tom Menino, Mass. Gov. Deval Patrick, the FBI, Mass State Police, Boston PD...shortly all cleared off, left, walked out, canceling this “high level press conference”...over just one little question, stubbornly re-asked and re-asked and re-asked.

9) Drills, exercises, “games,” are the hide-in-plain-sight ruses used to confuse and confound us all — psychological operations, or psy-ops, “Is this an exercise, or is this ‘real world’?”. And done all in the name of “preparedness,” as our military was prepared on 9/11/2001 (Don’t call 911; We’ll call you) in the 1993 WTC bombing, the FBI’s agents swapped “real world” explosives into the “exercise”; Spain Train bombings, ditto in [the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City](#) — where the “patsy” or non-foreign guy was to park the fertilizer bomb for shock & awe, while the real explosives were placed at the 4 corners of the building (but only one went off — the duds were removed in the chaos; Jim Jones-town (it wasn’t the Flavor-Aid, it was the rat poison injections behind the shoulders, and rifle fire that did the job, and according to some, Jones was a CIA asset. We should check with Valerie Plame. The cases of JFK, RFK, both followed a similar MO — a patsy is set up as the “lone gunman,” and all of his little helpers stay low, and doan say nuffin, and we already know now that federal, state and local governments cooperated in the execution of MLK--no surprise, but impressive nonetheless -- [Again, see William Pepper, Esq. & King Family vs. Lloyd Jowers, et unnamed alii.](#)

10) Get rid of your television sets, and instead read more books, search the internet, use it, before we can no longer do so.

11) Develop a reflexive attitude that anything our “leaders” say is most likely a lie, unless proven otherwise, beyond a shadow of a doubt, an attitude where you watch what they do, using what they say as a guide to go 180° in the opposite direction to see what’s actually going on. Join in the effort to put together resource books of “uniform codes,” draft legislation for your city, village, town, state to implement things like the above (no “drills, games or exercises, over-flights by military airy planes,” no showing up with military uniforms anywhere off your base--besides, “All your bases are belong to us,” Mr. Civil Servant); to facilitate the Domestic Domestic Corporations (“People are Corporations, Too,” remember.)

12) Make certain family and friends learn the Constitution, learn about running a corporation, learn “the losers” version of history ([Howard Zinn’s a People’s History of the US](#)), the almost non-stop use of military force the US has embarked upon since 1797 or so).

13) Help push for free political advertising and if you like my suggested Windfall ROPI Profits Tax, then get behind that one and push, too. -27-

Afterwords: Is Change Coming?

You might possible blow me down with a feather, but in a somewhat “nuanced” speech at a [supposedly friendly venue last Thursday \(23 May 13, at the National Defense University on Fort McNair \(hey, all of those guys who blew it on national defense 9/11-style should have been sent to college instead of getting promoted\), had a few interesting things to say:](#)

Meanwhile, we strengthened our defenses — hardening targets, tightening transportation security, giving law enforcement new tools to prevent terror. Most of these changes were sound. Some caused

*inconvenience. But some, like expanded surveillance, raised difficult questions about the balance that we strike between our interests in security and our values of privacy. **And in some cases, I believe we compromised our basic values — by using torture to interrogate our enemies, and detaining individuals in a way that ran counter to the rule of law.***

[My emphasis.] How nice. The guy in charge, after only five years, says we were law-breakers. “Torture” is pretty straight-up. “In a way that ran counter to the rule of law,” not so much.

Moreover, we have to recognize that these threats don't arise in a vacuum.

Good, good good. “Blowback” is now officially acknowledged. Except that the only real link there has been between The Database or The Payroll and Iraq, say, has been the US, particularly as to 9/11, which will turn out to have been a purely home-grown affair.

Then this: *“Our alliances are strong, and so is our standing in the world. In sum, we are safer because of our efforts.”* That's purely “aspirational,” in my experience, for all three claims re: alliances, standing and safer. Then again, so was Obama's Nobel Piece Prize--he just hasn't yet found his missing piece to make it a full Peace prize. A mere 17 ¶s later:

And even then, the cost to our relationship with Pakistan — and the backlash among the Pakistani public over encroachment on their territory — was so severe that we are just now beginning to rebuild this important partnership.

I guess not every alliance is all that strong. It's nice that Obama has reaffirmed a commitment to trial by jury and all that other Constitutional stuff. Here's another:

For the record, I do not believe it would be constitutional for the government to target and kill any U.S. citizen — with a drone, or with a shotgun — without due process, nor should any President deploy armed drones over U.S. soil.

Definitely good to hear. But would it surprise you if I noted that “belief” can be a very thin reed on which to lean, given that Holder's already tried to “distinguish” “due process” and “judicial process”? Or if I suggested that “Talk's cheap”? A nice privacy board sounds nice enough, but Posse Comitatus was repealed, the military have established NORTHCOM, standing for North American Command (US and Canada) as a “battle zone,” and the “homeland” “security” gang has been buying bullets by the billions, built concentration camps by the hundreds, set up 72-plus “Fusion” centers where local, state, federal and espionage departments “fuze” their opinions about dissenters (remember my VVAW story, *supra*?), the Clerics For Calming The Citizens (FEMA or “homeland”), the NDAA for FY 2012 with its indefinite detention at MILITARY facilities (think concentration camps), the Army “resettlement” field manuals, HAARP (just watch a few Jesse Ventura Conspiracy Theory shows from last season--and I think he's been renewed for another season. We'll see. He's now surfing in Mexico), DUMBs--Deep Underground Military Bases, Chem-Trails, the continuing militarization of local and state and national guard units into one, fused federal “homeland” army. Remember the “Just because you're paranoid doesn't mean they aren't out to get you” gibe.

This one speech ([which you should all of you read, carefully](#)) does not a Constitutional Restoration make. Maybe it's a good sign, a harbinger of better days, but maybe not to stay — unless the “Presidential Di-

rectives” and “Findings” and “Opinions” and “Signing statements” are completely expunged from the Presidents’ Pandora’s Caissons (meaning 2), the CIA ripped to pieces and thrown to the wind, as JFK promised, perhaps a bit sooner than was prudent for him to have done so, imho.

Last Page (obit)